G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

File No. 343

1921.

(Inv 2)

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

26-23 Mar 1922

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January 22

SUBJECT.

case of inam lands belonging to the Sri Thirukkattumannaswami temple at Nagore, Kottaiya Taluk

---

Inam Sub II - DG. Kadir, Coupter

3617-4-D/13/22

Sanctioned the _____

WD 1888—GPB—16 896—23-7 21

Clerk

G. R S. 11] 192/.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inungrai)

NOTES.

File No. 843-71

FILE HEADING.

Lease of mam lands belonging to Sri Venkataramanaswami Temple at Kuppa &c in The Kolpa &c.

From

No.	Dated		Received
Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

2111

4 22

NOTE

Subject:-- Lease of lands belonging to Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Megar in the Koppa Taluk.

The temple of Sri Venkataramanaswami at Megar in the Koppa Taluk is a Muzrai Institution endowed with a cash grant of Rs.0-15-0 a year and inam lands as per statement appended hereto.

2. The mismanagement of the temple affairs and of the endowments belonging to it having been brought to the notice of Government in 1904, they directed in their order No. 761-2 dated 17th August 1904 that the vahivat system of management be abolished and that the temple lands be left in the possession of the occuparts, who had agreed to deliver, with effect from 1903-04, more gutta than till then. A scale of expenditure was also sanctioned.

3. In connection with the reorganization of the Muzrai Institutions ordered in Government Proceedings No. 2563-49/M.F.694-15-1 dated 2nd April 1917, the Amildar of the Koppa Taluk was requested to go into the question of the income and expenditure of the temple and to submit proposals for fixing a revised scale of expenditure.

From the statements received from the Amildar it was seen that though the income of the inam lands had increased very much since the passing of the Government Order of August 1904,

the temple had derived little or no benefit there from. Thereupon the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District was written to in the matter and he was requested to resume possession of the -- lands from the occupants and to lease them to the best advantage of the temple in pursuance of the instructions conveyed in Government Order No. -- 517-25/M.F.115-16-8 dated 29th August 1917.

4. The sale of the right of cultivating the lands was notified by the Amildar on 25th October 1919 and the sale was conducted by him on 29th -- November 1919. Before the sale was actually commenced, the occupants represented to the -- Amildar that they would pay to the temple double the survey assessment and requested that they might not be dispossessed of the lands as they ha been in enjoyment of them for a considerable -- length of time and had also spent large sums of money in improving them. As the acceptance of such a condition would have resulted in a net decrease of Rs.51-1-10 a year in the temple incom in view of the fact that during the resurvey the assessment on the garden lands had been reduced, the Amildar did not entertain the request, but proceeded with the sale. The gutta offered at the sale amounted to Rs.1,709-4-0 against an income of Rs.543-3-5 derived by the temple during 1918-19.

5. The records were submitted by the Amildar to the Deputy Commissioner for confirmation of the sale. But before final orders could be -- passed, the marginally noted occupants who were in possession of 11 survey nos. appealed against the conduct of the sale and stated that only liable for the payment of a fixed rent

* 1. K. Subba Rao,
2. V. Singappaiya,
3. A. Singappaiya.

to the temple under the order of August 1904.

The appeals were admitted and a report was called for from the Amildar. One Kaveramma, -- guardian of the 1st petitioner, and the other two applicants agreed to pay Rs.250/-, 90/-, and 175/- as rent in respect of their holdings against -- Rs.195-5-6, 42-4-0, and 73-2-0 that they have been paying. The Amildar in submitting the records for the orders of the Deputy Commissioner recommend -ed that the terms agreed to by the appellants which were reasonable might be accepted.

6. As regards the other occupants, Ramappa, holder of Survey No. 88 has deposed that he has nothing to say against the sale and that he does not want the land. The remaining holders have not come with any representation.

7. In forwarding the entire records of the case, the Deputy Commissioner states that the offer of the 3 occupants referred to in para 5 supra and the tenders of the holders of Survey No. 36 and 43 offering a sum slightly in excess of the amount realized in the sale, may be accepted and that in respect of the other Survey Nos., the sale of the right of cultivation conducted by the Amildar may be confirmed.

By the above arrangement, the temple will get an annual income of Rs.1370/- against the present income of Rs.757-13-9.

8. The occupants referred to in para 5 while agreeing to the terms mentioned above represent that as it is not possible either to improve the lands within the short period of 5 years or to derive any benefit therefrom, the lands may be

be leased to them for a long period. Successive Amildars of the Koppa Taluk support this prayer of the occupants and state that it is necessary that the lease should be allowed to run for a period of 30 years at least. The Deputy Commissioner concurs with the views expressed above. I also agree with him and solicit the sanction of Government to these proposals.

C.T.

9. The temple will be treated as a major institution and a scale of expenditure will be submitted for the sanction of Government in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner.

10. Correspondence ending with letter No. C.C. 312 of 1919-20 dated 10th August 1921 received from the Deputy Commissioner is submitted for reference and kind return.

Camp Mysore
13.10.1921

Muzrai Superintendent.

D.N.

R. S. 111-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 343 of 1921

Serial No.

Subd. for orders
Chq. - 14/10/21.

M.A
25/10/21

Draft order (Typed) is subd
BG
10/11/21

Subd. with a fair draft—
BG
12.11.21
Chief Minister.

Does not twenty years much too long a period? Will not 15 years serve the purpose? Koppra Wars. I think, re-settled about three years. If so the term of the present lease will be almost co-terminous with that of the Survey Guarantee.

Chief
18.11.21
Minister

Draft (Para 2) amended and subd for appr. or orders.
BG
15/11/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

F S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 343 of 1924

Serial No.

I think fifteen years is too short. The period may kindly be raised at least to twenty years (20 years)

1 } If Govt. do not like 20 years will not the period of 30 years suit Govt. Members

2893-50/15

A. Approved.

Rec 21.11.24 21/11/24

Draft Rules dy 21/11 BG 21.11.24 Secy 21/11/24

2 To, Dy. Commr Kaira D.L. No 2727 dy. 29.11.24

Enquires whether the period of the proposed lease may not be limited to 15 years as against 30 yrs as recommended

1/12/1

3 From the Dy Commr Kaira D.L. No 312 dy 16.12.24. States that the period of 30 years in case of low lands. The Dy Commr says that a great deal of persuation was necessary to make them accept draft rules this period

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 343 of 1921

Serial No.

Respondent is resub? for approval in order.

24
23/12/21

(Revd Member) 27.12.21

35-14-187
26.12.21

Son approved in view of the circumstances explained & the strong recommendation made in his behalf by the D.C. vide his letter of 16.12.21.

Revd
27.12.21

Revd 27.12.21

4 To The Inspr of Inspr in Mysore
" Deputy Commr, Kadur District
" Comptroller to Government.
No: 3617-19 dated 13th January 1922

Sanctions the lease of inam land belonging to Sri Venkataramanaswami Temple at Megur in the Koppa Taluk on certain terms

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

No. 8-E 312/E 19-20/
one Statement and 7 files of records

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

Dated Chikmagalur, 19th August 1921

611

From

yalar Rao, [illegible], B.A., [illegible],
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

21 22
1002
343-

GEN & REV. SECTT.
19 AUG 1921

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esqr., [illegible],

Muzrai Superintendent in [illegible],

[illegible].

Mr. M.A
Please put up the
Clear Stephens line with
Early
20-8-21

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. I.C. 1[illegible] of [illegible] August 1[illegible], forwarding the Kopa Taluk Audit [illegible] No. 59 of the 1st idem [illegible] matter of disbursement of [illegible] disturbance in the temple of Sri [illegible] Kopa Taluk [illegible] 4/10/21 one Kopa Taluk [illegible] [illegible] tion to lease out the [illegible] the temple reserves [illegible] to state as follows:-

2. The temple [illegible] bearing [illegible] 438/- has subject [illegible] Government. The [illegible] the accounts [illegible] 1[illegible] 19[illegible], as [illegible] amount" to be [illegible] Government viz., [illegible] collections from [illegible] and "Lapsable" or "[illegible]" [illegible] 37[illegible]-0-0.

3. As [illegible] temple lands for [illegible] action by the [illegible] 1919 and the results [illegible] 1[illegible]-4-0 and [illegible]

Before orders were passed on the sale records, three petitions were received in this office from the marginally noted three -

1. K. Subba Rao,
2. V. Singappaiya.
3. V. Singappaiya.

persons who are in possession of 11 Survey Numbers protesting against their being deprived of the lands on the ground that they are the pattadars paying a fixed rent to the temple under the Government order of August 1894 quoted above and that they had improved the land at their own cost. From a perusal of the previous records of the subject and from the results of the present sale, which in some cases have fetched nearly thrice as much as the existing gutta amount, it appeared that the petitioners' representation deserved consideration and that the present high offers in the sale - were as stated by them, due to the improvements effected by them in the belief that they were entitled to occupy the lands permanently and would not be disturbed in their enjoyment thereof.

4. Notices were issued to all the occupants to appear before me and those that did respond were given to understand that it was not possible to arrange for the performance of the services in the temple, without increasing its income and were asked to consider by how much they would increase the rent if they were given a lease for a period of thirty years. They took some time to consider over the matter and finally have come with the offers noted below:-

	Present gutta.	Amount secured in the sale	Amount offered by the petitioner.
1. Kollappa (Deceased) Son K. Subba Rao, occupant of 4 Survey Numbers.	Rs 195-5-6	Rs 486-0-0	250-0-0
2. Singappiaya bin Thimmaiya, Occupant of 2 Survey numbers	42-4-0	114-0-0	90-0-0
3. Singappiaya bin Venkataramiaya occupant of 5 Survey numbers	73-2-0	269-4-0	175-0-0

Of the remaining 10 occupants, one Ramappa holder of Survey Number 104 (Resurvey Number 88) has given a statement to the effect that he has nothing to say against the sale and that he does not want the land, and the remaining 9 persons have not come forward with any representation till now.

5. In the above circumstances, I would recommend that the offer of the three occupants above referred to may be accepted for a period of thirty years, as it is not fair to dispossess them of the lands which they have occupied so long and which they have improved at heavy outlay and for which pattas have been issued to them in accordance with para 5 of the Government order of the 17th August 1904. The case of Kollappa was treated as special when that order was passed as he had heavily paid for the lands and the enhancement of rent in his case was only 4 annas in the rupee, while it was 6 annas in the case of others. I would further recommend that the sale of the right of cultivation of other lands except resurvey Numbers 36 and 43 be confirmed for a similar period of 30 years. As regards resurvey numbers 36 and 43, the pattadars now in possession thereof have offered tenders offering a slight increase over the amount realized in the sale and their tenders may be accepted. By the above arrangement, the temple will have an anual income of ₹ 1070/- against the present income of ₹ 757-12-0 from the lands. A statement showing the details is herein enclosed.

6. Orders have been issued to the Amildar to collect the rents for the last two years as per above recommendation. On receipt of Government orders, proper agreements will be taken from the parties and a scale of expenditure will be proposed.

7. The seven files of correspondence on the subject are herein enclosed for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Nylan Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Malur District.

BSAA.

Statement Showing the particulars of Lands

Village	Original Survey No.	Consolidated Survey number	Garden or Grain	Dry, wet or Garden	Extent		Assessment			Name of Pattadar	Amount payable to temple as per G.O. 761-2 Dt. 17-8-1905			Amount held
1	2	3	4	5	6		7			8	9			
Hanalave	16	16	Grain	Garden	2	23	31	-	-	Kollappa (deceased)	67	9	-	165
	68	17	-do-	-do-	1	15	16	-	-	Son K. Subba Rao	36	11	6	85
	67	19	-do-	-do-	1	15	16	-	-	Minor Daya Krishna and guardian Karemamma	36	11	6	86
	5	55	-do-	-do-	2	3	23	-	-		54	5	6	150
											195	5	6	486
	10	41	-do-	-do-	-	35	10	-	-	Sangappa bin Thimmiah	26	-	-	82
	11	42	-do-	-do-	-	22	6	8	-		16	4	-	32
											42	4	-	114
	22	6	-do-	-do-	1	17	26	-	-	Karasamma Kom Pranta (Muslim)	42	4	-	72
	27	12	-do-	-do-	1	20	16	-	-	Shamabhatta	35	12	-	60
	14	14	-do-	-do-	2	16	28	-	-	Venkappa bin Krishna	68	4	-	95
	17	18	-do-	-do-	1	29	21	-	-	Subbiah bin Ramiah	50	8	-	65
	18	20	-do-	-do-	3	7	38	-	-	Thimmappa Hebbar	92	11	-	147
	6	36	Garden	-do-	1	10	15	-	-	Subba bin Ramappa	42	13	-	(100 111
	12	43	Grain	-do-	2	1	26	-	-	Subba bin Kotta	64	2	-	(170 175
Heggara	101	95	Grain	Wet	4	33	9	-	-	Sangappa bin Pankaja bin Ramiah	10	4	-	40
	104	97	-do-	Wet & Garden	1	17	1	-	-	Ramappa bin Bhaskara Ramiah	40	10	3	90
	113	78	Grain	Wet	-	23	1	12	-	Nanjappa bin Thimmappa	3	1	6	6
	122	102	-do-	-do-	1	14	4	-	-		7	3	6	16
	107	125	Grain & Garden	Wet & Garden	3	12	6	-	-	Sangappa bin Ramakrishnaiah	24	4	6	136
					-	34	10	-	-					
	108	126	Grain	Garden	-	28	5	8	-		14	10	-	60
	109	127	Grain & Garden	Wet	1	15	16	-	-		23	14	6	70
											73	2	-	269
											787	13	9	1708 + 16

nandaswamy temple at Mysore in the Kolar Taluk

Name of purchaser	Amount offered by the Pattadar	Difference between Columns 9 and 10	Difference between Columns 10 and 12	Opinion of the Deputy Commissioner	Remarks
11	12	13	14	15	16
Sidlaghatta Subba Ramakrishnabhatta Shankaranarayan Bhatta.	P 258 - -	+ 290	10	236 - -	Offer may be accepted.
Singapanna Nagarale Chandappa Nagaral Manchaiah Bhandari	P 40 - -	+ 71	12 -	24 - -	Offer may be accepted.
Thimmappa Subba	72 - -	+ 29	12 -		Same as above.
Subba Gowda -	00 - -	+ 24	4 -		— do —
Venkatappa bin Krishna	9 - -	+ 26	12 -		— do —
Bhaviappa Subba	85 - -	+ 14	10 -		— do —
Thimmaiah & Singapanna (& Donekan)	147 - -	+ 54	5 -		— do —
Subba bin Narayan (& Devaraja)	111 - -	+ 88	3 -		Offer may be accepted.
Bhaviappa Subba Subba bin Nota (Panchayat)	175 - -	+ 110	12 -		— do —
Narayappa & Co	40 - -	+ 9	12 -		
Venkatappa	90 - -	+ 99	8 1		— do —
Rama Krishnappa Subbaraya	P 175 - -	+ 196	4 -	14 -	Offer may be accepted.
Ramakrishna Nayak					
Rama Krishnappa					
Ramakrishnappa					
	1370 - -	966	6 3	354 60 -	

(1) The present grant under Government order 764-2 dt 17th August 1904

(2) Amount offered at sale held on } 1708-4
the 29th November 19 9—

(3) Amount offered by the present } 1370-0-0
occupants of 13 Survey Nos. plus
the sole amount of — remaining
7 Survey Numbers —

(4) Increase of 3rd item the 1st = 612-2-3

80.
per

Chinnaswamy
11th August 1921.

R Ranga Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191*

Section Mazra

File No. 343-2

Serial No. 2

No.

Date of issue 25-11-21

Signed by [illegible]

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Kadur Dt.

With reference to your letter No. OC 312/C9.20 dt 10.8.21, to the Mazrai Superintendent, regarding the lease of the inam lands belonging to the Sri Venkataramanaswami Temple at Megari in the Koppa Tk, I am directed to state that the term of 30 years for which the lease is proposed to be granted Lee-

over.

~~a period of time~~
~~necessary to~~
request his favour
of your opinion
as to whether the
period may not
be limited to 15
years.

A very early reply
is requested.

I have &c.,

(Sd.) [illegible] 22/11/

Secretary of State.

21.11.21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D 1744—GPB—3 5,000—19-3 19

28438
DIARY No. 2479

192 1-11-22

SECTION Mun

FILE No. 34 ½ - 21-3

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Miscellaneous Department,
BANGALORE.

Dated 16th December 1921
Despatched
Received

General No. C-C 312 of 1919-20
No.

Enclosure No. .....

SUBJECT

States that the period of lease of the inam lands (30 years) belongs to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Megur in the Kopha Taluk is not too long a period.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Chikmagalur, Dated 16th December 1921.

From

A. HARI RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

To

T. JAYAN. AIYER, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Muzrai DEPARTMENT,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2727/Muz. 24-11-2 dated the 29th November 1921 enquiring whether the period of lease of the inam lands belonging to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Hegur in the Koppa taluk may not be limited to 15 years, as the term of 30 years now proposed seems to be too long, I have the honour to state that it is not unusual in the Malnad to grant leases for long terms. The lessees in this instance were enjoying the lands, as they contested, as Pattadars and, as I have represented in my previous letter, they have improved the lands considerably in the belief that they were entitled to occupy the lands permanently and would not be disturbed in their enjoyment thereof. It was only after great persuation that they were prevailed upon to accept a term of 30 years. If this is not accepted, the lessees are sure to contest the point and the question of their title to hold the lands permanently will be raised. It is a thorny question

which

question which does not admit of an easy solution. I therefore think that, having regard especially to the circumstances of this case, 30 years is not too long a period.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

S Narayana Das

Treasury Assistant Commissione
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.
(Absent from station).

D.3.R.

Muz 343-21-4

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

Government Order No.761-2/Muz.76-(dated the 17th August 1904, passing order on the affairs of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Megar, Koppa Taluk, and directing the abolition of the vahivat system which was not working satisfactorily and according sanction to the grant of pattas to each ryot duly signed by the Amildar.

(2) Note dated 15th October 1921, from the Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore, submitting for orders correspondence ending with letter No.O.C.512 of 19-20 dated the 10th August 1921, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, regarding the -- lease of the inam lands belonging to the temple.

(In extenso.)

Order No. 3617-9 Enc 7 fols Muz 343-21-4 Dated Bangalore, 13th November 1922.

The Muzrai Superintendent has in his Note read above, given a succinct history of the temple and submitted the following proposals for the orders of Government

(1) that the offer of the marginally -- noted persons who hold 11 out of the 20 survey Nos. endowed as inam to the temple to pay Rs.250/-, 90/ and Rs.175/- respectively as rent, as against Rs.195-5-0, Rs.42-4-0 and Rs.70-2-0 which they have been paying, may be accepted.

1. K.Subba Rao,
2. V.Singappaiya,
3. A.Singappaiya.

(2) that the tenders of the holders o

Survey Workers and to offering a sum ... by in excess of amount offered ... sale conducted by the Amildar ... be accepted,

... sale conducted by the Amil-dar ~~in respect~~ of the Star Survey ... may be confirmed,

... the term of the lease of the ... plot referred to in para (1) above may extend to 30 years as a period of 5 years is too short to enable the ... to derive benefit from the improvements they ... to effect.

2. ... sent for these proposals ... plot to cancellation the ...

... sale will under the above arrangement amount to Rs.1,370/- as against the present income of Rs.757-15-9, the temple should be treated as a Major Institution, and the Rural Superintendent is requested to propose a suitable scale of expenditure therein in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner.

Rees
29/12/24

Secretary to Government,

Rural Department.

BB 12/11/24

The Rural Superintendent in Mysore.

The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, (By express).

The Comptroller to Government.

*** ***

MDR.